

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE 006593-1966 09/980,921 10/26/2001 Amy Verhalen 8257 EXAMINER 7590 05/12/2005 Michael J. Nieberding, Esq. CHOI, STEPHEN Thompson Hine L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2000 Courthouse Plaza NE 10 West Second Street 3724 Dayton, OH 45402-1758

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/980,921	VERHALEN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Stephen Choi	3724		
appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL			
follov a No oliano	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
this A pire la a) or (EP 7) date of ex f the s	g date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS For the same of the spropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office.	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee tate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
, or a	n compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 y must be filed within the time perio	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the	
tion, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); below);				
n bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
ng a 8(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.		
R 1.1: on(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co :	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
be al	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
		•		

Advisory Action

navious notion	03/300,321	VEIGIALLIVEI AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Choi	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 April 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : llowable if submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will	TE below); ducing or simplifying ected claims. impliant Amendment timely filed amendme	the issues for (PTOL-324).			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 5. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu		otice of Appeal will no	ot be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).			
0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. Other:		$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}$				

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER Applicants contend that there is no reason for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify GB '785 to include a retractable shield of Tweed since a sharpening stone of '785 is already protected from debris. The examiner disagrees. Although a cover portion (14) can partially prevent the sharpening stone from debris when not in use, portion of a housing (12) is still exposed in such a way that the sharpening stone is not completely protected from debris. However, the proposed modification to include the retractable shield will prevent debris from entering. Thus, it is the examiner's position that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide a retractable shield on the device of '785 to arrive at the claimed invention